SINGLE BENCH (2)

C. & L. 4001 — (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No.....648...../2007

PETITIONER : 1. **Maniprabha Tripathi,** D/o. Shri Parmanand Tripathi Aged about 40 years working as Asst. Teacher, Govt. Higher Secondary School Sarangarh, R/o. Sarangarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

2. **Sushila Toppo**, D/o. Shri Patras Minj, Aged 42 years, Working as L.D.T. R/o. Mandanbahar, Tehsil Kunkuri, Dist. Jashpur (C.G.)

3. **Sunita Lakra** D/o. Shri Edward Lakra, Aged 38 years, Working as L.D.T. Govt. Primary School, R/o. Dandpani (Kansabel), Teh. Kunkuri, Dist. Jashpur (C.G.).

4. **Purushottom Lal** S/o. Shri T.P. Swarnkar, Aged 38, Working as Asst. Teacher, Govt. Primary School Bataupali, R/o. Sarangarh, Raigarh Road, Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 715/07 Presented by Shri Hemant Kuhi a dated 23/02/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secratery Public Education Department Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Educational Officer, Raigarh Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

3. District Educational Officer Jashpur, Dist. Jashpur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 2073/96 14/02/07 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER OF LIKE NATURE ETC.:-

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(57)

W. P. (S) No. 648 of 2007

Petitioners : Maniprabha Tripathi & others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri Manoj Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 2nd day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5473 of 2006 (Rakesh Kumar Sharma & another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 9th October, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 9th October, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (S) No. 5473 of 2006. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur